

# WWUH Elections Held

Last Wednesday, April 15, 1970, Ken Kalish, a sophomore in the School of Engineering, was elected general manager of WWUH, the University's 1800 watt stereo FM radio station. Kalish replaces Clark Smidt, a speech major in the School of Arts and Sciences, who graduates in June. Smidt was first appointed manager of the radio station March of 1967. He has been in charge of the ...al construction, organization and operation of WWUH for the past three years. This fall, Smidt enters a Master of Science program in Boston University. WWUH first signed on the air on July 15, 1968. Kalish was one of the original staff members of WWUH preparing the station for air as a member of the technical staff and later as chief engineer for two terms.

The station's operating policies are derived from the WWUH executive committee, consisting of seven University of Hartford students elected from the active membership of WWUH. In addition to general manager, Kalish, 21, the board now consists of: William Crepeau, JUNIOR, Business, who has worked with WWUH since 1968 and held the position of business manager during the station's first year and a half of operation. Charles Horowitz, senior, Business, is the program director. Involved in station programming for the past year, Horowitz is now responsible for all programming, announcers and auditions. Phil Cabot, frosh., Business has been reelected as business manager. Charles Allen, frosh., Engineering, joined WWUH last semester and holds a first class radio-telephone license, necessary for the legal

# I Want To Die Naturally

by Mark A. Bauman

When people talk of suicide as being an unnatural way to die, I wonder if dying at the hand of POLLUTION was any more natural. When we philosophize on how life should be we see those who are optimistic spending their lives pleading to the masses, saying, "life can and will be better." So... life, that word which encompasses so much, that for a layman to understand its entire scope is virtually impossible ... yet as we all know, we are expected to live it.

Activists scream, "live your LIFE now," this would be a fine thought — if we as individuals could only admit to the fact that the life we have lived is the only one we are sure of. Then, with the death rate increasing from our pollution — we should not be too disappointed if we don't have a future. This statement can be proven by one scientific explanation and that is — we are slaughtering ourselves. To me this act is one of perversion.

Why must we, as a nation, react only to events that have reached a crisis stage? Why can't we recognize the need for change before people die? Is this because we are willingly blind to these atrocities? It seems to be sad but true, that the taste of death must be sweet upon our lips. Have you ever asked yourself why change

comes so hard to us?

It is true people of our nation are different, but yet, there is one common bond; we are all human beings and we all must breathe the same air, and it is obvious that if the air is bad for one it is going to be bad for all.

I have no idea how you feel about dying this way, but I can honestly say that I'm afraid of dying any way whether it be naturally or otherwise. If I had my way, I would like to die of old age (which is very natural). I cry when I think of all the people who have died and all those who will die soon. I get sick to my stomach when I think that we (USA) have the means and most definitely have the minds to avoid this undesirable end. "And all he asked was to die naturally."

### Dr. Potter Resigns As Associate Dean

The Rev. Dr. Robert A. Potter resigned as Associate Dean of Student Relations effective September 1, 1970. He will return to his full-time teaching duties in the Sociology Department here at the University.

## On Gaining Perspective

# Revolution And Environmental Pollution

by Peter Sklar

The height of my resentment of the current level of air and water pollution was reached when I was informed that I was consuming in poisonous gases and substances the equivalent of two or three packs of cigarettes per day. I was then, as I am now, spending some time body-building and weight lifting; I was frustrated and angered to learn that my efforts at physical hygiene were being undermined simply by breathing New York City's air. Yet, I reacted to this new awareness much the same as did most Americans. I suppressed the knowledge as effectively as possible and every time the act of suppression proved too great a task, I dwelled on the thought of leaving the city permanently.

I have changed much over the last few years, largely because of an increased awareness of the American government's role in the oppression and exploitation of millions of individuals here and abroad. As of late, I have begun to earnestly consider revolution.....

I originally found it hard to throw my support behind the anti-pollution campaign. It seemed to me that since it got under way largely after the President's speech, it was in some way condoned by the Federal Government. Also, in realizing that environmental pollution is only one facet, a symbolic symptom if you will, of an exploitative oppressive capitalistic system and society, I could not grant support to a movement that declines to deal with more than just that one facet. Recently, however, I have come to believe that any movement in opposition to any form of oppression is worthy of support. I have also come to believe that it is essential for all movements engaged in such opposition to seek unity with one another and have thus added the anti-pollution campaign to the number of activities in which I am actively engaged. It is interesting to note that while several students on this campus who openly espouse revolution have criticized my support of the anti-pollution campaign, I believe that my stance is more indicative of a revolutionary ideology, and, more importantly, will prove more effective in bringing about the destruction of the American government and society as we know it.

It is important to realize that revolution in America cannot occur unless the social and political consciousness of a considerable amount of citizens has been drastically expanded. While the vast majority of Americans cannot see their way clear to an out and out confrontation with the Federal Government either physically or ideologically, there are many who are quite willing to sublimate whatever opposition they feel into support for a relatively conservative opposition movement such as the anti-pollution campaign. These persons should not be discounted from having a role in effecting revolution. Concerning the various atrocities committed by the Federal Government and its business allies, even limited activity by supporters of the anti-pollution campaign constitutes a gap between compliance and opposition and places such supporters on the side of the latter. While those who consider themselves revolutionaries may tend to view the involvement of people in such a campaign as effecting or indicative of a polarization between those in support of the present administration and system and those in opposition. This latter view should be kept in mind and "consulted" when considering the merit of one opposition group or another.

There is another consideration to make regarding the anti-pollution campaign. Because this is a capitalistic nation, the finding of means to effect an end to pollution

have been assigned to various private corporations and industries—inevitably the very corporations and industries responsible for the pollution. Surely, the Federal Government with its massive resources and relative objectivity would prove more effective in conducting such research. Those who are sincere in their desire for clean air and water will soon realize that one of their primary obstacles is American capitalism and will be compelled to either withdraw from or increase their level of opposition to the Federal Government and its business allies. The fact that some will withdraw from the struggle is inconsequential in that all will have increased their political awareness.

I would define my role in causing a revolution in the United States in terms of enlightening as many citizens as possible and of furthering whatever polarization already exists between the Federal Government and its subjects. I believe the current anti-pollution campaign can be viewed as both an instrument of enlightenment and polarization.

# Help Drug Addicts Under Sixteen

To: All Students Who Would Like to be of Help to Drug Addicted Teen-Agers Under Sixteen:

You are aware of the various established programs for the treatment of drug dependent youth sixteen and older, but you may not be aware of the lack of programs for youngsters who are under sixteen.

We would like to meet with those U of H students who have an interest in helping the below sixteen years of age drug addict. At our meeting, we will outline the ADDIP program which has been in existence for two months at the University of Connecticut Hospital. We will also propose that a corps of volunteer college students be created to become role models in a big brother or sister fashion to these adolescents. We will share our ideas with you and hope you will share your ideas with us.

Please meet with us on Tuesday, April 28, at 8 p.m. in Rm. 302, DANA Hall.

Sincerely yours,

Mel Goldstein  
Assoc. Prof. of English  
U of H

Robert A. Kramer, M.D.  
Associate Professor  
Department of Pediatrics  
The University of Connecticut  
Health Center

